
zeitgeist?

Posted by darkdays - 2008/08/11 19:56

I AM NOT TAKING SIDES HERE BUT CONSIDERING YOUR ARGUMENT ON THREE KINGS AND STAR OF BETHLEHEMDID IT OCCUR TO YOU THAT IF SIRIUS AND THE THREE KINGS POINTED TO THE SPOT OF SUNRISE DURING DAY IT WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE TO OBSERVE? OBVIOUSLY AS YOU SAY SIRIUS IS FAR FROM THE SUN AS THE SUN RISES BUT! >>>> WHEN SIRIUS RISES (A BIT LATTER THAN THE THREE KINGS RISE) IT POINTS ON THE HORIZON EXACTLY WHERE THE SUN WILL COME UP IN THE NEXT MORNING WHICH IS STILL BY FAR THE MOST ACCURATE NATURAL PHENOMENON BY WHICH ONE CAN DECIDE THE SUNRISE POINT DURING DECEMBER. (NOTE THAT THE EAST OF THE COMPASS IS USELESS AS IT RARELY COINCIDES WITH ACTUAL EAST POINT SUNRISE.)SO IF THE THREE KINGS AND SIRIUS AT NIGHT SHOW TO THE POINT IN THE HORIZON WHERE THE SUN _WILL_ RISE IN THE MORNING THE "MAXWELL" ARGUMENT STILL HOLDS CONSIDERABLE VALIDITY. IT IS ALSO MORE APPLICABLE TO COMMON REASON THAT THE STAR OBSERVATIONS TOOK PLACE IN THE MIDDLE OF THE NIGHT (NO TELESCOPES OR SATELITES BACK THEN) SO IF SIRIUS/3 KINGS MARKED THE SPOT OF SUNRISE AT NIGHT PEOPLE WOULD OBSERVE IT AND MARK THE POINT OF SUNRISE BEFORE SUNRISE ITSELF!!! HOW PRACTICAL...SIRIUS INFORMS YOU ABOUT THE NEXT SUNRISE.....BY FAR BETTER THAN SIRIUS POINTING THE SUN DURING SUNRISE TIME WHEN SIRIUS WOULD BE INVISIBLE AND NOT OF MUCH PREDICTORY CAPABILITY ANYWAY. FINALLY I WOULD SAY THAT INDEED ZEITGEIST ERRORS IN MANY POINTS YET THAT MAYBE JUST AN ERROR OF THE IDEA. NOT THE CLLAPSE OF THE IDEA ITSELF. I WOULD SAY THAT ZEITGEIST USES NOT HARD BUT LETS SAY SOFT FACTS FOR AN ABSOLUTE TRUTH.....THAT IS A MISCONCEPTION OF THE ZEITGEIST TEAM. WHEN ONE HOLDS SOFT OR CIRCUMSTANCIAL FACTS ONE HAS TO PRODUCE A SUGGESTION OR A LOOSE THEORYNOT AN EXPLANATION OF EVERYTHING.....THATS WERE THEY GET CREATIVE.....STILL THOUGH THE MAIN BODY OF MOMENTUM BEHIND ZEITGEIST IS SOLID AS LONG AS WE ACCEPT IT AS A WAKE UP CALL RATHER THAN A SCIENTIFIC THESIS. FACT IS JESUS STORY IS PART OF THE HUGE RELIGION BODY OF HUMAN SOCIETY AND AS SUCH IS FULL OF SIMILARITIES WITH OTHER GODS AND STORIES.....WHAT ZEITGEIST SHOULD DO IS TO POINT OUT THAT YOU CAN NOT KILL PEOPLE OR MAKE WARS OR DISCRIMINATE PEOPLE ON THE BASIS OF THE CHRISTIAN DOGMA FOR IT IS A LOOSE DOGMA WITH ILLUSIVE COMPONENTS AND NOT A MOST ABSOLUTE TRUTH...THE MISTAKE OF ZEITGEIST WAS THAT THEY TRIED TO MAKE THEIR THEORY SEEM ABSOLUTE WHILE THAT WAS NOT NECESSARY....THEIR THEORY SHOULD BE LIMITED TO THE FACT THAT WETHER JESUS EXISTED OR NOT ...EVIDENCE POINTS OUT THAT THE FULL BODY OF CHRISTIANITY IS NOT ONLY WHAT JESUS SAID BUT A NUMBER OF COMBINATIONS OF TRADITIONS ADDED IN LAYERS ABOVE THE MAIN SIMPLE MEANING. AND ALL THESE LAYERS ARE BUT ORIGINAL. THEY ALL INDEED RESEMBLE PRIOR RELIGIONS. SO YES MAIN ZEITGEIST CLAIM THAT CHRISTIANITY APPEARS TO BE A NON ORIGINAL AMALGAM OF PRIORLY EXISTING KNOWLEDGE IS VALID. THAT MAY NOT RULE OUT A REAL JESUS THOUGH...IT ONLY IMPLIES THAT WHAT WE READ IN THE BIBLE MAY HAVE BEEN TAMPERED WITH. SO ONCE AGAIN WE ARE BACK TO SQUARE ZERO....WHAT SOME MODERN GREEKS REFER TO AS : I PRAY TO THE LORD NOT HIS PRIESTHOOD.....MEANING THAT JESUS SEEMS TOO CLEAN TO RELATE TO ANY OF HIS REPRESENTATORS ON EARTH ANYWAY.....

=====

Re:zeitgeist?

Posted by geekto - 2008/08/18 18:08

What an amusing post! It always amazes me when people mention "absolute truth" since any ABSOLUTE is entirely impossible within reality as we know and percieve it. That aside, this person (darkdays) needs to realize that Acharya S. and her crowd don't deal in "truth" at all. They merely deal in OPINION-OFFERED-AS-PROOF. There's a big difference.

=====